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Abstract— Firewall is a security system for network, that controls the network traffic based on firewall rules. Firewall depends on the policy configuration, but managing that firewall policy is complex. Existing policy analysis tools, such as Firewall Policy Advisor and FIREMAN, they can only detect the policy anomaly cannot resolve these anomalies, and detection time was also increased. Therefore, I represent an innovative policy anomaly management framework for firewalls, it is a rule-based segmentation technique. In which a visualization-based firewall policy analysis tool called Firewall Anomaly Management Environment (FAME). Then the searching space and detection time for resolving conflicts was also reduced by the correlation process. It used for discovering and resolve anomalies in firewall policies.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Firewalls avoid unauthorized access and it monitors both the incoming and outgoing packets based on security rules. To implement a security policy in a firewall and the set of filtering rules defined by a system admin. A firewall designed to operate as a filter at the level of IP packets. Firewall depends on the policy configuration, but managing that firewall policies are complex and error-prone. Firewall policy analysis tools are Firewall Policy Advisor and FIREMAN. It introduced for to detecting policy anomalies. Firewall Policy Advisor used only for to detecting pairwise anomalies. FIREMAN can detect anomalies by analyzing the relationships between that rule and it detects anomalies by using the multiple rules. FIREMAN has some drawbacks in detecting policy anomalies. Such as it analyzes preceding rules and ignores all subsequent rules. The analysis result from FIREMAN can show that there is a misconfiguration between one rule and its preceding rules.

In this paper, I represent an innovative policy anomaly management framework for firewalls, it is a rule-based segmentation technique. This technique is used to identify policy anomalies and resolve that anomaly. Existing policy analysis tools, such as Firewall Policy Advisor and FIREMAN, they can only detect the policy anomaly cannot resolve these anomalies, and detection time was also increased due to misconfiguration between that rule.

In which a visualization-based firewall policy analysis tool called Firewall Anomaly Management Environment (FAME). Then the detection time and searching space for resolving conflicts was also reduced by the correlation process. It used for discovering and resolve anomalies in firewall policies. In the correlation process the searching space for resolving conflicts was also reduced.

II. EXISTING SYSTEM

Firewall policy analysis tools are:

- Firewall Policy Advisor
- FIREMAN

It introduced in to detect policy anomalies, but it cannot resolve the anomalies. Firewall Policy Advisor used only for to detecting pairwise anomalies. Anomalies are Shadowing, Generalization, Correlation, Redundancy. FIREMAN can detect anomalies by analyzing the relationships between that rule and it detects anomalies by using the multiple rules.

Disadvantages of existing system: FIREMAN has some drawbacks in detecting policy anomalies. Such as it analyzes preceding rules and ignores all subsequent rules. The analysis result from FIREMAN can show that there is a misconfiguration between one rule and its preceding rules. It detects policy anomalies, but it cannot resolve the anomalies and detection time was also increased.

III. PROPOSED SYSTEM

An innovative policy anomaly management framework for firewalls, it is a rule-based segmentation technique. This technique is used to identify policy anomalies and resolve that anomaly. Existing policy analysis tools, such as Firewall Policy Advisor and FIREMAN, they can only detect the policy anomaly cannot resolve these anomalies, and detection time was also increased due to misconfiguration between that rule.
In which a visualization-based firewall policy analysis tool called Firewall Anomaly Management Environment (FAME). Then the detection time and searching space for resolving conflicts was also reduced by the correlation process. It used for discovering and resolve anomalies in firewall policies. In the correlation process the searching space for resolving conflicts was also reduced. The correlation relationships between conflicting segments are identified and conflict correlation groups are derived. Thus the searching space for resolving conflicts is reduced by the correlation process. If one rule intersects with others, but definitely a different action in the correlation process.

**Advantages of proposed system:**
Conflict detection and resolution, Conflicting segments are identified. The searching space for resolving conflicts is reduced by the correlation process.

**IV. PROPOSED SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE**

![Proposed System Diagram](image)

**Proposed System is composed of two core functionalities:**
Conflict detection and resolution, Redundancy discovery and removal as depicted in Fig. 1.

It's based on the rule-based segmentation technique. In admin process the channel details and user details are stored in the database.

For conflict detection and resolution, conflicting segments are identified. Then, each conflicting segment associates with a policy conflict and a set of conflicting rules. Also, the conflicting segments are identified and conflict correlation groups (CG) are derived. Policy conflicts can be resolved separately. As a result, the searching space for resolving conflicts is reduced by the correlation process. It generates an active constraint for each conflicting segment. A reordering algorithm is used for conflict rule reordering. In which it is a combination of a permutation and a greedy algorithm. A reordering algorithm used to discover a near-optimal conflict resolution solution for policy conflicts. Then, the detection time and searching space for resolving conflicts was also reduced by the correlation process.
Concerning redundancy discovery and removal, segment correlation groups are identified. So, redundant rules are identified and eliminated.

**Data flow diagram:**

It has 4 levels: **Level 0, Level 1, Level 2, Level 3**

**Level 0:**
Channel details and client details are stored in the database

![Fig.2 Level 0](image)

**Level 1:**
The administrator generates a rule. Administrator use a rule name and various fields for rule generation. The threshold value calculated by rule generation. The action might be allow or deny depending on the threshold value. The conflict resolution and Reordering of conflict occurred rules which meet the prospects of all action constraints then this sort be the best resolution.

![Fig.3 Level 1](image)

**Level 2:**
Each conflicting segment associates with a policy conflict and a set of conflicting rules. Also, the conflicting segments are identified and conflict correlation groups (CG) are derived. Policy conflicts can be resolved separately. As a result, the searching space for resolving conflicts is reduced by the correlation process.

![Fig.4 Level 2](image)
The conflicting segments are identified and conflict correlation groups (CG) are derived. Policy conflicts can be resolved separately. Then, the detection time and searching space for resolving conflicts was also reduced by the correlation process.

Fig. 5 Level 3

V. CONCLUSION

Existing policy analysis tools, such as Firewall Policy Advisor and FIREMAN, they can only detect the policy anomaly cannot resolve these anomalies, and detection time was also increased.

In this paper, I represent an innovative policy anomaly management framework for firewalls, it is a rule-based segmentation technique. In which a visualization-based firewall policy analysis tool called Firewall Anomaly Management Environment (FAME). Then the searching space and detection time for resolving conflicts was also reduced by the correlation process. It used for discovering and resolve anomalies in firewall policies.
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